

Open and Honest Care in your Local Hospitals

The *Open and Honest Care: Driving Improvement* programme aims to support organisations to become more transparent and consistent in publishing safety, experience and improvement data; with the overall aim of improving care, practice and culture.

Report for:

**Northumbria Healthcare NHS
Foundation Trust**

April 2016

Open and Honest Care at Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust : April 2016

This report is based on information from April 2016. The information is presented in three key categories: safety, experience and improvement. This report will also signpost you towards additional information about Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust's performance.

1. SAFETY

NHS Safety thermometer

On one day each month we check to see how many of our patients suffered certain types of harm whilst in our care. We call this the safety thermometer. The safety thermometer looks at four harms: pressure ulcers, falls, blood clots and urine infections for those patients who have a urinary catheter in place. This helps us to understand where we need to make improvements. The score below shows the percentage of patients who did not experience any harms.

95.7% of patients did not experience any of the four harms whilst an in patient in our hospital

94.5% of patients did not experience any of the four harms whilst we were providing their care in the community setting

Overall 95.1% of patients did not experience any of the four harms in this trust.

For more information, including a breakdown by category, please visit:

<http://www.safetythermometer.nhs.uk/>

Health care associated infections (HCAIs)

HCAIs are infections acquired as a result of healthcare interventions. Clostridium difficile (C.difficile) and methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) bacteremia are the most common. C.difficile is a type of bacterial infection that can affect the digestive system, causing diarrhoea, fever and painful abdominal cramps - and sometimes more serious complications. The bacteria does not normally affect healthy people, but because some antibiotics remove the 'good bacteria' in the gut that protect against C.difficile, people on these antibiotics are at greater risk.

The MRSA bacteria is often carried on the skin and inside the nose and throat. It is a particular problem in hospitals because if it gets into a break in the skin it can cause serious infections and blood poisoning. It is also more difficult to treat than other bacterial infections as it is resistant to a number of widely-used antibiotics.

We have a zero tolerance policy to infections and are working towards eradicating them; part of this process is to set improvement targets. If the number of actual cases is greater than the target then we have not improved enough. The table below shows the number of infections we have had this month, plus the improvement target and results for the year to date.

Patients in hospital setting	C.difficile	MRSA
This month	3	0
Trust Improvement target (year to date)	30	0
Actual to date	3	0

For more information please visit:

<https://www.northumbria.nhs.uk/quality-and-safety/keeping-patients-safe>

Pressure ulcers

Pressure ulcers are localised injuries to the skin and/or underlying tissue as a result of pressure. They are sometimes known as bedsores. They can be classified into four categories, with one being the least severe and four being the most severe. **The pressure ulcers reported include all avoidable/unavoidable pressure ulcers that were obtained at any time during a hospital admission that were not present on initial assessment.**

This month 13 Category 2 - Category 4 validated pressure ulcers were acquired during Acute hospital stay and 4 in the community.

Severity	Number of Pressure Ulcers in our Acute Hospital Setting	Number of Pressure Ulcers in our North Tyneside Community Setting	Number of Pressure Ulcers in our Northumberland Community Setting
Category 2	13	1	0
Category 3	0	1	0
Category 4	0	2	0

In the hospital setting, so we know if we are improving even if the number of patients we are caring for goes up or down, we calculate an average called 'rate per 1,000 occupied bed days'. This allows us to compare our improvement over time, but cannot be used to compare us with other hospitals, as their staff may report pressure ulcers in different ways, and their patients may be more or less vulnerable to developing pressure ulcers than our patients. For example, other hospitals may have younger or older patient populations, who are more or less mobile, or are undergoing treatment for different illnesses.

Rate per 1,000 bed days: 0.51 Hospital Setting

For the community setting we also calculate an average called 'rate per 10,000 CCG population.

Rate per 10,000 population: 0.18 North Tyneside

Rate per 10,000 population: 0.00 Northumberland

The pressure ulcer numbers include all pressure ulcers that occurred from 72 hours after admission to this Trust

In April 2016 we recorded the following ungradeable/unstageable pressure ulcers:
3 in the Acute setting

We use the term category or grading for pressure ulcers which are categorised or graded from 1-4 as per the National Institute Clinical Excellence (NICE) and European Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (EPUAP) guidance. Not all Pressure Ulcers can be categorised/graded and for these ulcers we use the term ungradeable/unstageable. An ungradeable ulcer cannot be graded as the base of the wound is not exposed and the true depth therefore cannot be determined. It takes time and treatment to expose the base of a wound and in some ulcers it may not be appropriate to do this. For reporting we record all ungradeable/unstageable ulcers as grade 3 until such time that the ulcer can be graded.

Falls

This measure includes all falls in the hospital that resulted in injury, categorised as moderate, severe or death, regardless of cause. **This includes avoidable and unavoidable falls sustained at any time during the hospital admission.** Falls within the community setting are not included in this report.

This month we reported 8 fall(s) that caused at least 'moderate' harm.

Severity	Number of falls
Moderate	8
Severe	0
Death	0

So we can know if we are improving even if the number of patients we are caring for goes up or down, we also calculate an average called 'rate per 1,000 occupied bed days'. This allows us to compare our improvement over time, but cannot be used to compare us with other hospitals, as their staff may report falls in different ways, and their patients may be more or less vulnerable to falling than our patients. For example, other hospitals may have younger or older patient populations, who are more or less mobile, or are undergoing treatment for different illnesses.

Rate per 1,000 bed days: 0.31

2. EXPERIENCE

To measure patient and staff experience we ask a number of questions. The idea is simple: if you like using a certain product or doing business with a particular company you like to share this experience with others.

The answers given are used to give a score which is the percentage of patients who responded that they would recommend our service to their friends and family.



Patient experience

The Friends and Family Test

The Friends and Family Test requires all patients, after discharge from hospital, to be asked: *How likely are you to recommend our ward to friends and family if they needed similar care or treatment? We ask this question to patients who have been an in-patient and/or attended Accident & Emergency (A&E) or the Maternity service or in the community. All scores (if applicable) are below;*

In-patient FFT score ¹	97.1	% recommended. This is based on 1472 patients asked
A&E FFT score	87.3	% recommended. This is based on 347 patients asked
Maternity FFT score	98.3	% recommended. This is based on 296 patients asked
Community FFT score	97.8	% recommended. This is based on 721 patients asked

¹ This result may have changed since publication, for the latest score please visit:

<http://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/statistical-work-areas/friends-and-family-test/friends-and-family-test-data/>

We also asked patients the following questions about their care in the hospital:

Sometimes in a hospital, a member of staff will say one thing and another will say something quite different. Did this happen to you?

Yes, always	0%
Most of the time	0%
Some of the time	3%
Hardly ever	7%
No	89%

How would you rate how well the doctors and nurses worked together?

Excellent	88%
Very Good	8%
Good	1%
Fair	0%
Poor	3%

Overall, did you feel you were treated with respect and dignity while you were in the hospital?

Yes, always	98%
Most of the time	1%
Some of the time	1%
Hardly ever	0%
No	0%

Were you involved as much as you wanted to be in decisions about your care and treatment?

Yes, always	92%
Most of the time	4%
Some of the time	2%
Hardly ever	1%
No	0%

How much information about your condition or treatment was given to you?

None at all	2%
Not enough	6%
The right amount	90%
Bit too much	1%
Too much	0%

Did you receive timely information about your care and treatment?

Yes, always	89%
Most of the time	7%
Some of the time	3%
Hardly ever	1%
No	0%

Did you find someone on the hospital staff to talk to about your worries and fears?

Yes, always	94%
Most of the time	5%
Some of the time	1%
Hardly ever	0%
No	1%
I had no worries or fears	0%

When you had important questions to ask a doctor, did you get answers that you could understand?

Yes, always	90%
Most of the time	7%
Some of the time	3%
Hardly ever	0%
No	0%
I had no need to ask	0%

Did you have confidence and trust in the doctors treating you?

Yes, always	97%
Most of the time	3%
Some of the time	0%
Hardly ever	0%
No	1%

Did the doctors talk in front of you as if you weren't there?

Yes, always	1%
Most of the time	1%
Some of the time	1%
Hardly ever	2%
No	96%

When you had important questions to ask a nurse, did you get answers that you could understand?

Yes, always	97%
Most of the time	2%
Some of the time	1%
Hardly ever	0%
No	0%
I had no need to ask	0%

Did you have confidence and trust in the nurses treating you?

Yes, always	97%
Most of the time	3%
Some of the time	0%
Hardly ever	0%
No	0%

Did nurses talk in front of you as if you weren't there?

Yes, always	0%
Most of the time	0%
Some of the time	1%
Hardly ever	1%
No	97%

In your opinion, how clean was the hospital room or ward that you were in?

Excellent	87%
Very Good	12%
Good	1%
Fair	0%
Poor	0%

How clean were the toilets and bathroom that you used while in hospital?

Excellent	88%
Very Good	10%
Good	2%
Fair	0%
Poor	0%

As far as you know, did doctors wash or clean their hands between touching patients?

Yes, always	98%
Most of the time	2%
Some of the time	0%
Hardly ever	0%
No	0%

As far as you know, did nurses wash or clean their hands between touching patients?

Yes, always	99%
Most of the time	1%
Some of the time	0%
Hardly ever	0%
No	0%

Do you think the hospital staff did everything they could to help control your pain?

Yes, always	96%
Most of the time	3%
Some of the time	1%
Hardly ever	0%
No	0%

Were you given sufficient explanation about the purpose of any new medications.

Yes, always	97%
Most of the time	1%
Some of the time	2%
Hardly ever	0%
No	0%

Did any member of staff tell you about medication side effects to watch for?

Yes, always	40%
Most of the time	1%
Some of the time	1%
Hardly ever	1%
No	57%

Were you told how to take your medication in a way you could understand?

Yes, always	97%
Most of the time	0%
Some of the time	0%
Hardly ever	0%
No	3%

Were you ever bothered by noise at night from Hospital staff?

Yes, always	1%
Most of the time	0%
Some of the time	3%
Hardly ever	4%
No	92%

Were you ever bothered by noise at night from other patients?

Yes, always	1%
Most of the time	2%
Some of the time	8%
Hardly ever	13%
No	76%

Were you treated with kindness and compassion by the staff looking after you?

Yes, always	97%
Most of the time	3%
Some of the time	0%
Hardly ever	0%
No	0%

How likely are you to recommend this ward to friends and family if they needed similar care or treatment?

Extremely Likely	89%
Likely	8%
Neither likely nor likely	1%
Unlikely	1%
Extremely unlikely	1%
Don't know	0%

A patient's story

I want to thank all of the staff I met during my recent visit to the breast clinic at Wansbeck. I also work for the Trust which may make you think I am biased but I can assure you I am not. If anything it makes me more critical as I am well aware of the exacting standards expected of my colleagues and I even during times of severe pressure.

I had lived in terror for nearly 3 weeks having discovered a breast lump, but the appointment my GP said would arrive within 2 weeks of my consultation with them did just that. I was seen 2 weeks to the day from seeing my GP, and that 2 weeks included Easter. The clinic is very efficient and every member of staff I met was excellent, from the receptionist, the radiographers, nurse and doctor. The service is streamlined - mammogram, ultrasound, biopsy and examination all in under 3 hours. Very impressive. And being told my lump was nothing sinister was the icing on the cake

Comment patient seen in April 2016, sourced from NHS Choices.

Staff Experience

Every quarter we ask our staff the following two questions (quarter three national staff survey). We ask these questions to different staff groups across the business units each quarter so all staff groups have the opportunity to respond. Staff from Child Health and the Community Business Units participated in the quarter four results below;

How likely are you to recommend Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust to friends and family if they needed care or treatment?	87.6%
How likely are you to recommend Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust to friends and family as a place to work?	77.5%

The staff experience questions in quarter four were collected from 8th February until 14th March 2016. 2,565 staff were invited to participate of which we had 436 staff respond with a response rate of 17%.

3. IMPROVEMENT

Improvement story: we are listening to our patients and making changes

I work as a Secretary for two consultants at Wansbeck General Hospital and was at The Northumbria (Specialist Emergency Care Hospital) as a patient, in this Ward (Ward 3) and I was in the bed next to this one, two days after it was opened and it was chaotic – the toilets were not working, they could not find a stand for the drip, the clocks had no batteries in them – I felt that they should never have opened the Hospital as soon as they did.

However, on this occasion – my second admission – everything that was wrong the first time have been put right, everything is now in place and much more. At my first admission if I had to rate the Ward it would have been 0 (zero) but now it is perfect – 10 out of 10.

Comment from a Patient who was surveyed whilst on the Ward in April 2016